Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/982,122	BECKER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thu V. Huynh	2178
All Participants:	Status of Application	
(1) <u>Thu V. Huynh</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kent Stier</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 February 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	applicant's representative)	·
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 11, 14		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G Authorization for examiner amendment	SENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the applicatio of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief suit</li> </ul>	n. The examiner will provide a value of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview.
MMagel		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)